This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455674

TRANSITION METAL COMPLEXES OF AMINOPHOSPHONIC ACID ANALOGUES OF METHIONINE AND ALANINE IN AQUEOUS SOLUTION

Barbara Kurzak^a; Ewa Matczak-jon^b; Maria Hoffmann^c

^a Institute of Chemistry, Pedagogical University, Siedlce, Poland ^b Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Technical University, Wroclaw, Poland ^c Department of Organic Chemistry, Technical University, Gdansk 6, Poland

To cite this Article Kurzak, Barbara , Matczak-jon, Ewa and Hoffmann, Maria(1998) 'TRANSITION METAL COMPLEXES OF AMINOPHOSPHONIC ACID ANALOGUES OF METHIONINE AND ALANINE IN AQUEOUS SOLUTION', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 43: 2, 243 – 255

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00958979808022673

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958979808022673

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

TRANSITION METAL COMPLEXES OF AMINOPHOSPHONIC ACID ANALOGUES OF METHIONINE AND ALANINE IN AQUEOUS SOLUTION

BARBARA KURZAK^{a*}, EWA MATCZAK-JON^b and MARIA HOFFMANN^c

^aInstitute of Chemistry, Pedagogical University, 08-110 Siedlce, Poland; ^bInstitute of Inorganic Chemistry, Technical University, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland; ^cDepartment of Organic Chemistry, Technical University, 80-952 Gdansk 6, Poland

(Received 6 January 1997)

The stoichiometries and stability constants of the nickel(II), copper(II) and zinc(II) complexes of 1-amino-3-methylthiopropanephosphonic acid (MetP) and 1-amino-ethanephosphonic acid (α -AlaP) have been determined pH-metrically at 25°C at an ionic strength of 0.2 mol dm⁻³ (KCl). From the stability data and the absorption spectra of the complexes it has been established that simple aminophosphonic acids coordinate to the nickel(II) and copper(II) ions forming chelate complexes in which the metal binding mode is bidentate with the {NH₂, PO₃²⁻} donor set. ³¹P and ¹H NMR measurements showed that MetP and α -AlaP exhibit similar properties in the presence of zinc(II) ions, but the ligand reacts to form a cyclic phosphonoamidate in neutral and slightly alkaline solution in the Zn(II)- α -AlaP system and at slightly acidic conditions in the Zn(II)-MetP system. This difference reveals that the latter ligand at pH > 7 prefers Zn(II) coordination involving all possible (amino, phosphonate and thioether sulfur) donor groups.

Keywords: potentiometry, ³¹P and ¹H NMR spectra, electronic spectra, complexes, stability constants, aminoacids, phosphonic acids, first-row metals

INTRODUCTION

Aminophosphonic acids are analogues of aminocarboxylic acids in which a -COOH group is replaced by a $-PO_3H_2$ group. The chemistry and, in particular, biochemistry of aminophosphonic acids is of increasing interest owing to the fact that the $-PO_3H_2$ group is present in a number of substances including neuroactive compounds, antibiotics and herbicides [1]. Some are potent inhibitors of metalloproteases [2, 3] and some display biological activities with possible application from medicine to agriculture. [1]

^{*}Author for correspondence.

B. KURZAK et al.

Phosphonic and carboxylic groups differ substantially in many aspects including size (phosphonic function is considerably larger), shape (flat carboxylic *versus* tetrahedral phosphonic group), basicity and electron-releasing effects. These differences may play important roles in enzyme-substrate interactions. Thus, investigation of the interactions of this class of compounds with various metal ions may contribute to a better understanding of their powerful metalloenzyme inhibitory effects and biological activity. Although metal complexes of aminophosphonic acids have been studied fairly extensively in solution [4], their solution chemistry is still not well understood. Recently we showed for the first time that 4-amino-4-phosphonobutanoic acid underwent chemical transformation upon reaction with zinc(II) ions under neutral and slightly alkaline solution [5].

In the present work, the study has been extended to 1-amino-3-methylthiopropanephosphonic acid (MetP) and 1-amino-ethanephosphonic acid (α -AlaP). Stoichiometries, stability constants and bonding modes of the complexes formed with nickel(II), copper(II) and zinc(II) are presented below.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

The phosphonic acid ligands were prepared by the method of Tam *et al.* [6] and used in racemic form. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate was obtained from Aldrich. The purities and exact concentrations of solutions of the ligands used for potentiometric studies were determined pH-metrically by the Gran method [7]. Metal chloride solutions were purchased from Merck. The concentrations of the metal(II) chloride stock solutions for potentiometry were standardized by complexometric (edta) titration. Carbonate-free potassium hydroxide was prepared and standardized against a standard potassium hydrogen phtalate solution. The concentration of the KOH solution was *ca* 0.2 mol dm⁻³.

Potentiometry

Stability constants for H⁺ and metal(II) complexes were calculated from titration curves carried out at 25 ± 0.1 °C using a total volume of 5 cm⁻³. The ligand concentration was 4×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ and metal: ligand ratio were 1:1, 1:2 and 1:4. Ionic strength was adjusted to 0.2 mol dm⁻³ with KCl. Titrations were performed over the range pH 3-11 with KOH solution of known concentration (*ca* 0.3 mol dm⁻³). In the case of complexes with zinc(II), reliable titration

curves were not obtained for higher pH values because of precipitation of complex and/or metal hydroxide.

The pH was measured with a MOLSPIN automatic titration system using a micro combination pH electrode (Mettler-Toledo, U402-M6-S7/100). The electrode system was calibrated by periodic titrations of an HCl solution (5 \times 10⁻² mol dm⁻³ in KCl) against a standard KOH solution. Resulting titration data were used to calculate standard potentials, E⁰, and the dissociation constant for H₂O. These were then used to calculate the hydrogen ion concentration [H⁺] from potential readings [8]; number of titrations was 3 and the method of calculation employed SUPERQUAD [9]. Standard deviations (σ values) quoted were computed by SUPERQUAD and refer to random errors only. They are, however, a good indication of the importance of the particular species in the equilibrium [10].

For the sake of clarity, charges on the complexes are omitted in the text and the Table. It has to be mentioned, however, that depending on the type of ligands, the fully deprotonated forms have different charges; *i.e.*, L^{-2} refers to aminophosphonic acids, L^{-} to amino acid. Hence, species with the same stoichiometric composition may have different charges.

Spectroscopic Measurements

EPR spectra were measured on a Bruker ESP 300E spectrometer at the X-band frequency (9.45 GHz) at 120K in frozen ethylene glycol-water (1:1 v/v) solutions. The ligand concentration was 1.6×10^{-2} mol dm⁻³ and the metal:ligand ratio was 1:4. Visible absorption spectra were obtained at 278K on a Beckman DU-68 spectrophotometer. The spectra were recorded digitally (with 0.5 nm steps) in the 900-350 nm range in a 5.0 cm quartz cuvette.

The ligand concentration and metal:ligand ratio for the copper(II)-ligand system was the same as used in the EPR. For the nickel(II)-ligand system the ligand concentration was 2.0×10^{-2} mol dm⁻³ and the ratio 1:3. The pH of the final solution was adjusted with HCl or KOH.

Phosphorus and proton NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 300 MHz spectrometer at 300 K and are given relative to 85% H₃PO₄ and SiMe₄, respectively. Samples for NMR studies were prepared in deuteriated water with a ligand concentration of 0.01 mol dm⁻³. A zinc(II) to ligand mol ratio of 1:2 was applied using zinc nitrate hexahydrate as a source of Zn(II) ions. The pH of the samples was measured using a Radiometer pHM 83 instrument equipped with a 2401c combined electrode and are given as meter readings without correction for pD.

TABLE I Protonation constants of free ligands $(\log \beta_n^H)$ and formation constants of Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II) complexes $(\log \beta_n)$ of some aminophosphonic acids (MetP and α -AlaP) and an amino acid $(\alpha$ -Met) at 25°C and I = 0.2 mol dm⁻³ (KCl).

Ligand	Species	H+	Ni(II)		Cu(II)		Zn(II)	
			this work	ref. [8]	this work	ref. [8]	this work	ref. [8]
MetP	[HL]	9.58(1)		16 4 11166 113				
	$[H_2L]$	15.12(2)						
	[M(HL)]	12.40(8)						
	[ML]			5.15(1)	8.21(1)	4.81(4)		
	$[ML_2]$			8.94(5)	14.89(3)	9.46(5)		
	$[ML_{2}H_{-1}]$	4.1(1)						
α-AlaP	[HL]	9.97(1)	10.11					
	$[H_2L]$	15.57(1)	15.66					
	[M(HL)]			11.98	12.92(4)	12.29	12.93(2)	11.79
	[ML]			5.42	8.26(1)	8.29	5.66(3)	5.99
	$[ML_2]$			9.31	14.66(3)	14.94		
	[ML ₃]			12.20				
	$[ML_2H_{-1}]$	3.97(6)						
α-Met	[HL]	9.12(1)						
	$[H_2L]$	11.34(2)						
	[ML]			5.26(1)	7.76(1)	4.32(1)		
	$[ML_2]$			9.74(2)	14.27(2)	8.18(1)		
	$[ML_2H_{-1}]$	3.05(4)						

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pH-metrically determined proton dissociation and the metal(II) complex formation constants of MetP, together with those of 1-aminoethanephosphonic acid (α -AlaP) and methionine are given in Table I. In the pH range studied (pH 3-11) MetP contains two dissociable protons. These are involved in the dissociation of the -PO₃H⁻ and NH₃⁺ groups, respectively. The pK characteristic of the dissociation of -PO₃H₂ is ≈ 1.0 [11, 12] and this group does not take part in metal co-ordination equilibria.

It seen from Table I that the methionine NH_3^+ group is more acidic ($\approx 0.5 \log$ units) than that of the phosphonic acid analogue. This change was recorded for other aminophosphonic acids [13]. The more acidic character of the $-NH_3^+$ group of MetP than of α -AlaP (log $\Delta K_{NH_3}^+ = 0.53$) can be explained by the slight electron-withdrawing effects of the CH₃-S-CH₂-group.

Stability constants of the complexes formed, together with derived equilibrium constants are listed in Table I. Although, data for metal ion—Met complexes can be found in the literature [14], for comparison we decided to determine all of the data under the same experimental conditions.

Ni(II)-MetP System

A species distribution for the nickel(II)—MetP system (Figure 1a), indicates the formation of the two complexes above pH 5. [NiL] at pH ca 8 reaches 70%; at pH 10.5 [NiL₂] reaches 92% of the total. The lack of the [Ni(HL)] species in the



FIGURE 1 Species distribution for the nickel(II)-MetP system as a function of pH: (a) $c_M = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$, $c_L = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³; (b) $c_M = 7.0 \times 10^{-3}$, $c_L = 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ mol dm⁻³.

system studied suggests bidentate coordination with the {NH₂, PO_3^{2-} } donor set.

The absorption spectra of the Ni(II)—MetP system (Figure 2) were obtained under appropriate conditions. At pH 7 the maximum at 645 nm corresponds to the predominant concentration of [NiL]. At pH 9.4 a shift in the spectrum was observed, giving a maximum at 640 nm (Figure 2). This coincides with the formation of [NiL₂]. The very weak absorption spectra in the d-d region clearly indicate octahedral or pseudooctahedral geometries around the metal ions. The d-



FIGURE 2 Changes of d-d absorption bands in the nickel(II)-MetP system as a function of pH for $c_M = 7.0 \times 10^{-3}$, $c_L = 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³, 1 = 5.0 cm.

d transition energy found for [Ni(MetP)₂] is very similar to that of [Ni(α -AlaP)₂] (pH = 9.4; $\lambda_{max} = 640$ nm, $\epsilon = 5$ M cm⁻¹ and $\lambda_{max} = 640$ nm, $\epsilon = 6$ M cm⁻¹, respectively).

Cu(II)---MetP System

As shown in the species diagram (Figure 3), at lower pH [Cu(HL)] is formed. In this complex only the phosphonate group is coordinated to the metal ion and the amino group is protonated. The stability constant for this complex agrees well with the stability constant obtained for the Cu(II)-HPO₄²⁻ system (logK_[Cu(HL)] = 2.82 and logK_[CuHPO₄] = 3.2 [13]), which confirms the assumed bonding mode in [Cu(HL)]. At higher pH, MetP coordinates in a similar way to α -AlaP [13] forming chelate species [CuL] and [CuL₂], in which the ligands are bound to the metal ions *via* the amino and PO₃²⁻ groups. The overall stability constant of [Cu(HL)] for MetP is considerably lower than for α -AlaP system (Table I). The difference is probably due to the difference in basicity of the NH₂ groups. [CuL] and [CuL₂] complexes of MetP are more stable than the methionine complexes. This can be explained by the difference in basicity of the -PO₃²⁻ and the COO⁻ groups.

Electronic spectra parameters confirm 2N coordination in the complex [CuL₂]. Typical absorption spectra for the Cu²⁺—MetP system are plotted in Figure 4, in the pH range 2.8-12. This spectra in the d-d region at pH < 3 exhibit a transition



FIGURE 3 Simulated species distribution for the copper(II)-MetP system as a function of pH for conditions used in the visible studies ($c_{M} = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$, $c_{L} = 1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ mol dm⁻³).

B. KURZAK et al.



FIGURE 4 Changes of d-d absorption bands in the copper(II)-MetP system as a function of pH for $c_M = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$, $c_L = 1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ mol dm⁻³, 1 = 5.0 cm.

around 820 nm characteristic of the copper(II) aqua ion. An increase in pH above 3 leads to the appearance of a new d-d band and its maximum shifts towards the visible region indicating greater extent of complexation.

At pH 5.3 the λ_{max} at 680 nm corresponds to the maximum concentration (*ca* 68%) of [CuL]. The maximum at 650 nm does not change position in pH range of 7.7 to 8.5, which corresponds to the predominance of [CuL₂]. The next shift of the maximum with further increase in pH above 9.5 is consistent with the formation of the new complex [CuL₂H₋₁] (Table I and Figure 4). Spectroscopic parameters of the corresponding complexes of MetP, α -AlaP and α -Ala are (for pH = 8) $\lambda_{max} = 650$ ($\epsilon = 53$ M cm⁻¹) and 645 ($\epsilon = 56$ M cm⁻¹) nm for the Cu(N,O)₂—chromophore involving *N*-amino and *O*-phosphonate donors and 615 nm ($\epsilon = 50$ M cm⁻¹) for *N*-amino and *O*-carboxylate coordination, respectively. From these parameters we conclude that MetP and α -AlaP coordinate to copper(II) in a similar way to α -Ala, *i.e.*, involving C_{α}-bonded donor groups [13].

In addition to the pH-metric and spectrophotometric studies, EPR measurements were also performed in the copper(II)—MetP system. Parameters of $g_{II} =$ 2.271 and $A_{II} =$ 159 G for [Cu(MetP)₂] also seem to indicate that two nitrogens are bound to copper(II) in this species. The bonding parameters (AOM) of [Cu(MetP)₂] in aqueous solution suggest that the σ —bonding effect of the *O*ligator (from the phosphonate group) with isotropic π bonding is considerably greater than that of the *N*-ligator (amine group) [15].



FIGURE 5 Species distribution for the zinc(II)-MetP system as a function of pH for $c_M = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$, $c_L = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³.

Zn(II)—MetP System

Recently, we showed that 4-amino-4-phosphonobutanoic acid (α -GluP) underwent chemical transformation upon reaction with zinc(II) ions in neutral and slightly alkaline solution [16]. This process was manifested in ³¹P, ¹³C and ¹H ($C_{\alpha}H$ region) NMR spectra where two sets of resonances (pH-independent and pH-dependent versus temperature) were observed. The effect of rising temperature was the apparent narrowing of the upfield, pH-dependent signal. The first set we ascribed to a new compound whereas the second is due to a fast equilibrium involving both α -GluP and its Zn(II) complex(es). Release of the ligand observed at pH > 9.5 was manifested in 31 P NMR spectra by a narrowing of the downfield resonance. Simultaneous formation of a fine suspension of hydroxide or hydroxo complexes was observed. Further analysis showed that some other α aminophosphonic acids also react with zinc(II) in a manner similar to that observed for α -GluP. Finally, by elimination of several possible products of ligand rearrangement, we proposed the formation of the cyclic phosphonamidate (see below) whose chemical shift (26.06 ppm for the compound derived from α -GluP) is characteristic of phosphonamidates [17-19].

³¹P and ¹H (C_{α} H region) NMR measurements confirmed that α -AlaP exhibits similar properties to α -GluP in the presence of the zinc(II) ions. Additional species were detected at a pH range *ca* 6.5-8.5 (Figure 6). Meanwhile, analogous measurements for the Zn(II)-MetP system clearly indicated the presence of the cyclic phosphonamidate in solution at pH 5.8-7 (Figure 7). It should be noted,





FIGURE 6 Phosphorus-31 NMR chemical shifts as a function of pH for α -AlaP and Zn(II)- α -AlaP solutions (1:2 mol ratio).

however, that at pH above ca 6.5 the measurements were carried out on solutions containing some amount of precipitate.

Since recent results obtained for zinc(II)-aminophosphonic acid systems indicated that the formation of the cyclic phosphonamidate generally improves solubility, it seems obvious that the precipitate could be attributed to Zn(II)-MetP



FIGURE 7 ³¹P NMR spectra for Zn(II)-MetP solutions (1:2 mol ratio) versus pH (pH = 5.80 (a); pH \approx 6.41 (b); pH = 6.99 (c); pH = 7.31 (d)).

B. KURZAK et al.

complex(es), different to those of α -AlaP. The lack of a low field resonance in the ³¹P spectra at pH > 7 indicates that in alkaline solution, equilibrium shifts towards more stable, poorly soluble species involving thioether sulfur donors besides amino and phosphonate groups.

In the case Zn(II), reliable titration curves were obtained up to pH *ca* 6.5, prior to any precipitation. This pH is practically the same for different metal-ligand ratios. This suggests that precipitation is caused primarily by the poor solubility of complex(es) and not by hydrolysis. Zn(II) complexes in solutions of MetP and their stabilities are listed in Table I. Figure 5 shows as an example the pHdependent Zn(II)-species distribution in the solutions with Zn(II):MetP = 1:4. The species distribution shows only two complexes, [ZnL] and [ZnL₂]. The protonated ligand and hydrolysed metal species had to be taken into account to fit the data.

A comparison of the zinc complexes of MetP and α -AlaP shows significant differences (see Table I). Thus, [Zn(MetP)] complex is *ca* one order of magnitude less stable than [Zn(α -AlaP)]. It can be calculated from the complex stabilities (Table I) that the formation of [Zn(MetP)] is unfavourable (logK_{ZnL}/ K_{ZnL₂} value is near zero). Equilibrium constants (logK_n) derived for Zn + H₂L = ZnL + 2H⁺ and ZnL + H₂L = ZnL₂ + 2H⁺, which take into account the difference in basicity of the coordinating donor groups (-PO₃²⁻ and -NH₂) of MetP and α -AlaP are logK₁ - pK₁ - pK₂ = -10.31, -9.91, respectively and logK₂ - pK₁ - pK₂ = -10.47 for MetP. Taking this into account, comparison of [Zn(MetP)] and [Zn(α -AlaP)] complexes still reveals a difference in stabilities of about 0.4 log units, suggesting some kind of interaction between zinc(II) and the sulfur atom.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research (KBN, grant # 2P30305507).

References

- P. Kafarski and P. Mastalerz, *Beitrage zur Wirstofforschung*, 21, eds. P. Oehme, H. Lowe and E. Gores, (Springer, Berlin, 1984); P. Kafarski and B. Lejczak, *Phosphorus and Sulfur*, 63, 193 (1993).
- [2] P. P. Giannousis and P. A. Bartlett, J. Med. Chem., 30, 1603 (1987); B. Lejczak, P. Kafarski and J. Zygmunt, Biochemistry, 28, 3549 (1989); B. Lejczak, M. Popiel de Choszczak and P. Kafarski, J. Enzyme Inhib., 7, 93 (1993).
- [3] N. E. Jacobsen and P. A. Bartlett, J. Am. Soc., 103, 654 (1981); P. A. Bartlett and C. K. Marlowe, Biochemistry, 26, 8553 (1987); H. Kim and W. N. Lipscomb, Biochemistry, 30, 8171 (1991); B. P. Morgan, J. M. Schulz, H. D. Ballinger, I. D. Zipkin and P. A. Bartlett, J. Am.

Chem. Soc., 113, 297 (1991); R. L. Elliot, N. Marks, M. J. Berg and P. S. Portoghese, J. Med. Chem., 28, 1208 (1989); D. Grobelny, U. B. Goli and R. E. Galardy, *Biochemistry*, 28, 4948 (1989).

- [4] T. Kiss, J. Balla, G. Nagy, H. Kozlowski and J. Kowalik, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **138**, 25 (1987); T. Kiss, E. Farkas and H. Kozlowski, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **155**, 281 (1989); K. Sawada, T. Kanda, Y. Naganuma and T. Suzuku, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2557 (1993); P. Hermann and I. Lukes, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2605 (1995); P. Hermann, I. Lukes, P. Vojtisek and I. Cisarova, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2611 (1995).
- [5] E. Matczak-Jon, B. Kurzak, W. Sawka-Dobrowolska, P. Kafarski and B. Lejczak, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 3455 (1996).
- [6] C. C. Tam, K. L. Mattacks and M. Tishler, Synthesis, 188 (1982).
- [7] G. Gran, Acta Chem. Scand., 4, 599 (1950).
- [8] M. Molina, C. Melios, J. O. Tognalli, L. C. Luchiari and M. Jafelicci, Jr., J. Electroanal. Chem., 105, 237 (1979).
- [9] P. Gans, A. Sabatini and A. Vacca, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1195 (1985).
- [10] T. Kowalik-Jankowska, H. Kozlowski, L. Pettit, K. Pawelczak and M. Makowski, J. Inorg. Biochem., 57, 183 (1995).
- [11] M. Wozniak and G. Nowogrodzki, Talanta, 26, 1135 (1979).
- [12] M. Wozniak and G. Nowogrodzki, Talanta, 26, 381 (1979).
- [13] T. Kiss, J. Balla, G. Nagy, H. Kozlowski and J. Kowalik, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 138, 25 (1987).
- [14] L. D. Pettit and H. K. J. Powell, IUPAC Stability Constants Database, Academic Software, 1993.
- [15] K. Kurzak, B. Kurzak, E. Matczak-Jon and M. Hoffmann, Spect. Lett., 29, 1307 (1996).
- [16] E. Matczak-Jon, B. Kurzak, W. Sawka-Dobrowolska, P. Kafarski and B. Lejczak, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 3455 (1996).
- [17] R. Hirschman, K. H. Yager, C. M. Taylor, W. Moore, P. A. Sprengeler, J. Witherington, B. W. Philips and A. B. Smith III, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 117, 6370 (1995).
- [18] S. N. Sampson and P. A. Barlet, J. Org. Chem., 53, 4500 (1987).
- [19] A. Mucha, P. Kafarski, F. Plenat and H-J. Cristau, Tetrahedron, 50, 12743 (1994).